

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,820	05/03/2001.	Vladimir Matena	SUN1P294/P5175	7908
22434 75	590 (06/03/2004		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			CAO, DIEM K	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, (CA 94704-0778	• "	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2126	4
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

			A 1
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	09/848,820	MATENA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-+
	Diem K Cao	2126	ļ
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum owill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1 .
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	lav 2001.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to the merits is	3
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2001</u> is/are: a)	\square accepted or b) \boxtimes o	pjected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-· ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	*	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received inty documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/2003.	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/848,820 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

2. The cross reference related to the application cited in the specification must be updated (i.e. update the relevant status, with PTO serial numbers or patent numbers where appropriate, on page 1, line 17).

Drawings

3. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 8 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims cite "to main a state associated with the state machine" on lines 2-3. Examiner interprets cite "to maintain a state associated with the state machine" for examining purpose. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2126

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 1-5, 7-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raj (Enterprise JavaBeans) in view of DeNap et al. (U.S. 6,490,273 B1).
- As to claim 1, Raj teaches an entity bean class (implementing the enterprise bean; part 5, page 1), a home interface associated with the entity bean class (the EJB home interface; part 5, pages 1-2), the home interface being arranged to create, find, and remove entity objects (the home interface has methods that define how the entity bean is crated and located in its container; part 5, pages 1-2, section "Define your EJB Home Interface" and methods for locating, creating, and removing instances of EJB classes are defined in the home interface; part 2, page 2, section "the Home Interface and Home Object"), a remote interface associated with the entity bean class (remote interface ... instances of BooksBean; part 5, page 2, section "Define Your EJB Remote Interface"), the remote interface being arranged to change the state of the bean in response to the input events (The remote interface lists the business methods available for the enterprise bean; part 2, page 2, section "The Remote Interface and EJB Object"), and an entity object associated with the entity bean class (bean instances; part 2, page 2, section "EJB Containers").
- 8. However, Raj does not teach the remote interface being arranged to driver the state machine, and the entity object being arranged to represent an individual state machine. DeNap teaches port objects are state machines (col. 16, lines 28-30), the objects are implemented in C++ code, there are multiple states associated with the state machine (Idle state, dial tone state; col.

Art Unit: 2126

16, lines 30-33 and ring state; col. 17, lines 1-3) and upon receiving invocations, the port object changes its states (When receiving a call ... ring state; col. 17, lines 1-4 and The event object provides ... dial tone state; col. 17, lines 61-65 and the controller object ... ringback state; col. 18, lines 30-33).

- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Raj and DeNap because by implementing the state machine as a software object, it could be executed by a multi-thread processing system, and could be stored on a server and download to the users in a distributed system.
- 10. As to claim 2, Raj teaches the entity bean class, the entity object, the home interface, and the remote interface are parts of an entity bean (EJB remote interface, EJB home interface, EJB class; part 5, page 1 and bean instances; part 2, page 2, section "EJB Containers").
- 11. As to claim 3, Raj teaches the entity bean is an enterprise bean (Enterprise beans ... entity beans; part 3, section Entity and Session Beans, page 3).
- 12. As to claim 4, Raj teaches the enterprise bean is an Enterprise JavaBean (The Enterprise JavaBean; part 2, section "The Enterprise JavaBean").
- 13. As to claim 5, Raj teaches the entity bean is arrange to be deployed in a bean container that is arranged to invoke instances of the entity bean class in response to invocations to the

Art Unit: 2126

methods of the remote interface (The EJB container manages one or more EJB classes ... invoke the bean's methods; part 2, page 2, section "EJB Containers").

Page 5

- As to claim 7, Raj as modified teaches the entity bean uses container-managed 14. persistence to maintain a state associated with the state machine (Container-managed persistence; part 3, page 4, section "Entity Beans").
- 15. As to claim 8, Raj as modified teaches the entity bean uses bean-managed persistence to maintain a state associated with the state machine (Bean-managed persistence; part 3, page 4, section "Entity Beans").
- 16. As to claim 9, Raj teaches the bean container is arranged to receive input events to the entity bean and to dispatch the received input events to the methods of the remote interface (An EJB container acts as ... invoke the bean's methods; part 2, page 2, section "EJB Container").
- As to claim 10, Raj as modified teaches the remote interface is arranged to define a 17. method for an input event to which the state machine responds (The remote interface lists the business methods available for the enterprise bean; part 2, page 2, section "The Remote Interface and EJB Object").
- 18. As to method claim 11, it corresponds to the state machine claim of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2126

19. As to claims 12-15, see rejections of claims 2-5 above.

20. **As to claims 17-20**, see rejections of claims 7-10 above.

21. Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raj (Enterprise JavaBeans) in view of DeNap et al. (U.S. 6,490,273 B1) further in view of Shoffner

Page 6

(Write a session EJB).

after a period of time without being used.

22. As to claims 6 and 16, Raj does not explicitly teach the bean container is further arranged to implement a timeout. Shoffner teaches the bean container is further arranged to implement a timeout (the container ... after some timeout period; page 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Raj and Shoffner because it provides a method for container to remove the beans

Conclusion

23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Havey teaches "E-State: An Enterprise State Machine – A framework inspired by ROOM".

- Gary et al. teaches "Distributed Architectures for Process Component Support".

Art Unit: 2126

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diem K Cao whose telephone number is (703) 305-5220. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Diem Cao

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 7